EXHIBIT A

ALL LONG CONTROLLS LAND	
STATE OF NEW YORK SUPREME COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE	
CYBERCREEK ENTERTAINMENT, LLC	
6831 Seneca Street	
Elma, New York 14059	
Plaintiff,	SUMMONS Index No.: 8025/0/2016
vs.	े स्थान के प्रतिकार के प्र विकास
U.S. UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE COMPANY 1190 Devon Park Drive Wayne, Pennsylvania 19087 Defendant.	
TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANT:	
You are hereby summoned and required to serve upon address stated below, a written Answer to the attached Con If this Summons is served upon you within the State service you must respond within twenty (20) days after service, or within thirty (30) days after completion of service other manner than by personal delivery within the State. In case of your failure to answer, judgment will be to the relief demanded in the Complaint.	oplaint in this action. of New York by personal vice, exclusive of the day of the day of the where service is made in any
This action is brought in the County of ERIE becaus	e of:
X Plaintiff's residence, or place of business	_ Defendant's residence _ Designation made by Plaintiff
DATED: Buffalo, New York March 9, 2016	
Yours, etc.,	
DeMARIE & SC	HOENBORN, P.C.
BY: (7/	

SEAN D. SCHOENBORN, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiffs 500 Rand Building 14 Lafayette Square Buffalo, New York 14203

(716) 856-0024

STATE OF	NEW YORK	
SUPREME	COURT: COUNTY OF ERIE	3

CYBERCREEK ENTERTAINMENT, LLC,

Plaintiff,

COMPLAINT

VS.

Index No.: 802510/2016

U.S. UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE COMPANY,

-	\mathbf{D}	efe	ndant			

Plaintiff, by its attorneys, DeMARIE & SCHOENBORN, P.C., as and for its complaint against the defendant sets forth and alleges as follows:

- 1. That at all times herein mentioned Plaintiff, CYBERCREEK
 ENTERTAINMENT, LLC (hereinafter referred to as "CYBERCREEK") was and is a
 domestic limited liability company with office for the transaction of business in the Town
 of Elma. County of Eric and State of New York.
- 2. That upon information and belief the Defendant, U.S. UNDERWRITERS INSURANCE COMPANY, (hereinafter referred to as "U.S.") is a foreign company organized and existing in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
- 3. That at all times herein mentioned, the Defendant, U.S., did business in the State of New York, County of Eric.
- 4. That the Plaintiff operated an outdoor and indoor driving range for golf and a restaurant all located at 6831 Seneca Street, Elma, New York (hereinafter the "property").
- 5. That commencing in or about April 2011, the Defendant, at the request of the Plaintiff, issued a policy of insurance to the Plaintiff for the purpose of, among other things,

insuring the property of the Plaintiff against loss as a result various causalities. The policy expired in April 2012.

- 6. Thereafter, in April 2012 and again in April 2013, the Defendant did issue a similar policy of insurance to the Plaintiff for the purpose of covering the same property. Both of those policies were for one year.
- 7. That on or about April 26, 2014 the Defendant, U.S., did issue a policy of insurance to the Plaintiff, CYBERCREEK, under policy number, CP3554324C. That policy was set to expire on April 26, 2015 and a premium was paid to the Defendant for that insurance coverage.
- 8. That said Insurance policy provided insurance coverage to the Plaintiff for the property, for among other things, COMMERICAL PORPERTY COVERAGE with building coverage of \$900,000.00 and business property coverage of \$150,000.00.
- 9. That at some point in and around July, 2014, the Defendant, through its representatives, caused an inspection of Plaintiff's property.
- 10. That upon information and belief, the inspection resulted in "recommendations" to Plaintiff for improvements to the property.
- 11. That although Plaintiff was not under any obligation to do so, he attempted to make the improvements suggested by Defendants.
- 12. That the Defendant, thereafter, and on or about August 27, 2014 unilaterally cancelled the policy of insurance under policy number CP3554324C effective September 30, 2014.

- 13. That the Defendants actions were unjustified as they had no right to demand changes in the property after they agreed to insure the property through April of 2015.
- 14. That the actions of the Defendant constituted a breach of the contract of insurance they had with the Plaintiff.
- 15. That UI & B, Plaintiff was unable to obtain alternative insurance coverage due to the cancellation.
- 16. That on or about November 20, 2014, well within the policy period, the Plaintiff's property was severely damaged by snow and ice, causing a collapse of a portion of the property, to wit: a building.
- 17. That the improvements the Defendant demanded had nothing to do with the collapse of the building.
- 18. The damage caused to the building would have been and should have been covered by the policy issued by the Defendants.
- 19. That as a result of the said building collapse, Plaintiff has sustained damages for the loss of the building and loss of business since the date of the collapse in the sum of \$800,000.00.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

- 20. Repeats, restates and realleges paragraphs First through Nineteen as if fully set forth herein.
- 21. That as a result of the actions of the Defendant the Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages in an amount to be determined by a trier of fact.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against the Defendant in the amount of \$800,000.00 on the first cause of action and an amount of punitive damages to be determined by a trier of fact plus costs and disbursements of this action.

Dated: Buffalo, New York March 9, 2016

DeMARIE & SCHOENBORN, P.C.

BY:

SEAN D. SCHÓENBORN, ESQ.

Attorneys for Plaintiff 403 Main Street, Suite 615 Buffalo, New York 14203

(716) 856-0024